

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.
7073
EXAMINER
CHIEM, DINH D
· · · · · ·
PAPER NUMBER
·

DATE MAILED: 01/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/747,933	OH ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Erin D Chiem	2883
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  rs will be considered timely.  the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
	- action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		•
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence of the	epted or b) objected to by the I frawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/29/03.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 1-5 and 8-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sun et al. Sun et al. teaches a polymeric waveguide prism-based electro-optic beam deflector comprising a passive optical waveguide having a lower cladding layer, a core, and an upper cladding layer to guide and transmit optical signals; and a light deflector formed by patterning the upper cladding layer in a predetermined shape at an upper portion of the passive optical waveguide, wherein a refractive index of the core under the predetermined shape is modified to deflect a light beam by applying a current or an electrical field to the light deflector (page 1218, paragraph 2 and Fig. 1). Furthermore, the predetermined shape, plurality of repeating triangles, is formed to make an angle of an emergent light beam different from that of an incident beam (Fig. 2).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2883

- 4. Claim 6, 7, 13, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sun et al. in view of Kusakabe (US 6,511,858 B1).
- 5. Sun et al. disclose a polymeric waveguide prism-based electro-optic beam deflector comprising a passive optical waveguide having a lower cladding layer, a core, and an upper cladding layer to guide and transmit optical signals; and a light deflector formed by patterning the upper cladding layer in a predetermined shape at an upper portion of the passive optical waveguide, wherein a refractive index of the core under the predetermined shape is modified to deflect a light beam by applying a current or an electrical field to the light deflector (page 1218, paragraph 2 and Fig. 1). Furthermore, the predetermined shape, plurality of repeating triangles, is formed to make an angle of an emergent light beam different from that of an incident beam (Fig. 2). But Sun et al. do not disclose electro-optic beam deflector is composed of an InP material and the core area and the active are composed of InGaAsP material, and that the deflector patterns are formed by embossing or engraving method.
- 6. Kusakabe disclose a semiconductor light-receiving device in which is composed of InP material (col. 1, line 11) and the core is formed of InGaAsP (col. 1, line 13) for the ability of integrating various device to the light-receiving device, both electronic and optical devices.
- 7. Furthermore, Kusakabe also disclose employing etching as a method of forming or removing elements from the light receiving device and one of the elements that is formed on the device are electrodes (col. 1, line 42-50). Although, Kusakabe do not explicitly disclose etching electrode onto the device, but it is well-known in the art that

Application/Control Number: 10/747,933

Art Unit: 2883

etching is employed for placing electrode onto the device's surface. The examiner would like to note that etching is also known as embossing or engraving.

- 8. Since Sun et al. and Kusakabe are both from the same field of endeavor, the purpose disclosed by Kusakabe would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Sun et al.
- 9. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to employ InP as the substrate material and InGaAsP as the core material since these are typical materials employ to manufacture planar waveguide. And furthermore, etching has been known to be used as a method of placing gratings onto semi-conductor waveguides, or as a method of layering the multiple layers of planar waveguide. Therefore, it is obvious to incorporate the methods taught by Kusakabe with the concept of the electro-optic beam deflector taught by Sun et al. as an integrated electro-optic beam deflector for the ability of integrating both electronic and optical devices.
- 10. Claim 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sun et al. in view of Paek (US 5,946,128). Sun et al. disclose a polymeric waveguide prism-based electro-optic beam deflector comprising a passive optical waveguide having a lower cladding layer, a core, and an upper cladding layer to guide and transmit optical signals; and a light deflector formed by patterning the upper cladding layer in a predetermined shape at an upper portion of the passive optical waveguide, wherein a refractive index of the core under the predetermined shape is modified to deflect a light beam by applying a current or an electrical field to the light deflector (page 1218, paragraph 2 and Fig. 1). Furthermore, the predetermined shape, plurality of repeating

Art Unit: 2883

triangles, is formed to make an angle of an emergent light beam different from that of an incident beam (Fig. 2). But Sun et al. do not disclose a collimator lens for collimating a light beam emergent from the light source; and a diffraction grating for changing a diffraction angle depending on a wavelength of the light beam through the collimator lens, and further comprises a reflecting mirror for reflecting a specific wavelength diffracted by the diffraction grating.

- 11. Paek disclose a grating assisted acousto-optic tunable filter comprising a collimator lens (Fig. 3, 29) for collecting emergent beams and direct the collected beam to the beam deflector 23. The beam propagation is the deflected to the diffraction gratings 21 and the selective wavelengths are then propagate to the mirror 31 for reflecting a specific wavelength diffracted by the diffraction gratings. Paek's grating assisted acousto-optic tunable filter is use to increase the number of available wavelength channels for output hence further provides significantly increase the output bandwidth.
- 12. Since Sun et al. and Paek are both from the same field of endeavor, the purpose disclosed by Paek would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Sun et al.
- 13. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to employ a different beam deflector in place of the acousto-optic beam deflector 23 into the system for the purpose of increasing the number of available wavelength channels for output hence further provides significantly increase the output bandwidth.

Art Unit: 2883

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Erin D Chiem whose telephone number is (571) 272-3102. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 9AM - 5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank G Font can be reached on (571) 272-2415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Erin D Chiem Examiner Art Unit 2883

edc

Frank G. Fort
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800

Frank & Fort